## Case 2:09-cv-02452-BBD dky Document 1-1, Eiled 07/14/09 Page 1 of 12 Page 1D 6

### 140 ADAMS AVENUE MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38103 FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS

x AN	SUMMONS IN C	IVIL ACTION				
NO - CT-072828-09	AD DAMNUM \$	500,000.00	AUTO 🗌 O	THER 🛛		
JOHN G. MONTAGUE and his wife,		5993 SHADY GROV	VE ROAD, MEMPHIS, TH	ENNESSEE		
GWENDOLYN W. MONTAGUE			Home Address			
PLAINTIFF			Business Address			
vs.			Dusiness riddress			
PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY and CHUBB GROUP OF INSURANC COMP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Home Address	<del></del>		
CHORD GROUP OF INSURANC COME	ANIES		Home Hearth			
DEFENDANT			Business Address			
TO THE DEFENDANT(S):	MARTIN & ZERFOSS,	M/G/A FOR PAC	IFIC INDEMNITY C	OMPANY		
( )	909 21ST AVENUE SOUTH		PLEASE SERVE THR			
MANAGING AGENT OR EMPLOYEE)	ASHVILLE, TENNESSEE	37212-1587	DAVIDSON COUN	TY SHERIFF		
You are hereby summoned and required	to defend a civil action	by filing your ansy	wer with the Clerk of 1	he Court and		
serving a copy of your answer to the Comp.				Plaintiff's		
attorney, whose address is 5100 Poplar Av				<del>-</del> .		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
THIRTY (30) DAYS after this summons has been served upon you, not including the day of service. If you fail to do so, a						
judgment by default may be taken against y	ou for the relief demand		•			
		JIMMY MC	OORE, Clerk			
1	20		111/1			
TESTED AND ISSUED 6/1/	<u>, 20</u> 09_	By A		, D.C.		
	TO THE DEFENDA					
NOTICE: Pursuant to Chapter 919 of the Public A Tennessee law provides a four thousand dollar (\$	Acts of 1980, you are here 4,000) personal property e	by given the following execution from execution from execution from executions are supplied to the control of t	ng notice: ution or seizure to satisf	v.a judgment. If		
a judgment should be entered against you in this	action and you wish to cla	im property as exemp	pt, you must file a writte	en list, under		
oath, of the items you wish to claim as exempt wi	th the Clerk of the Court.	The list may be filed	at any time and may be	changed by you		
thereafter as necessary; however, unless it is filed	before the judgment beco Certain items are automatic	mes final, it will not ally exempt by law a	and do not need to be lis	ted. These		
garnishment issued prior to the filing of the list. Certain items are automatically exempt by law and do not need to be listed. These include items of necessary wearing apparel (clothing) for yourself and your family and trunks or other receptacles necessary to contain						
such apparel, family portraits, the family Bible and school books. Should any of these items be seized, you would have the right to recover them. If you do not understand your exemption right or how to exercise it, you may wish to seek the counsel of a lawyer.						
recover mem. If you do not understand your exem	COST BON		to seek the counsel of	a lawyor.		
I hereby acknowledge and bind myself for th			f all costs not to excee	ed \$500.00 m		
this court which may at any time be adjudged	l against the plaintiff in	the event said plain	ntiff shall not pay the	same.		
	, (3)		20			
Witness My Hand this	day of	······································	,20			
Certification when applicable	2)			<u>.</u>		
•			Surety			
I, K. X ARMSTRONG, Clerk & M.	A.		MOORE, Clerk of			
of the Cha. Court, Shelbudy,		Court, Sh	elby County, Tenness	see, certify		
Tennessee, cersa accurate copy as		this to be this	a true and accurate co	ppy as med		
KENNY APARONG, C. Master			MOORE, Clerk			
	EXHIBIT			, D.C.		
	■	■ DV:		. D.C.		

### I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SERVED THE WITHIN SUMMONS: By delivering on the $\frac{1}{8}$ day of $\frac{1}{8}$ at $\frac{1}{8}$ M. a copy of the summons and a copy of the Complaint to the following defendents Served Christy Tunes, who accepted service for Martin to Zerfoss, M/b/A for Chubb brouge f Tens 4 JONES PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SERVED THE WITHIN SUMMONS: By delivering on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_M. a copy of the summons and a copy of the Complaint to the following defendants (PLEASE PRINT THE FOLLOWING) Address Private Process Server Phone Company Signature Other manner of service: I hereby certify that I have NOT served this Summons on the within named defendant(s) is / are not to be found in this County for the because following reason(s): Mark Luttrell, Sheriff This \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_. By\_\_\_ Deputy Sheriff INDEMNITY COMPANY STALL DEFENDANT SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTIONS JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS OF TENNESSEE G. MONTAGUE NO. CT-303838.0 Attorney for Plaintiff Came to hand

Case 2:09-cv-02452-BBD-deturoronester vice left \$7/M/09 Page 2 of 12 PageID 7

FOR THE THIRTIETH JUD	·	
JOHN G. MONTAGUE and his wife, GWENDOLYN W. MONTAGUE,		
Plaintiffs,	NO.	<u> </u>
vs.	DIV.	工
PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY and CHUBB GROUP OF INSURANCE COMP	ANIES,	
Defendants.		
COM	IPLAINT	

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS:

COME NOW your Plaintiffs, John G. Montague and his wife, Gwendolyn W. Montague, and sue the Defendants, Pacific Indemnity Company and Chubb Group of Insurance Companies, for damages and for cause of action would respectfully state and show unto this Honorable Court as follows:

#### I. PARTIES

- 1. That your Plaintiffs, John G. Montague and his wife, Gwendolyn W. Montague, are adult resident citizens of Memphis, Shelby County, Tennessee and reside at 5993 Shady Grove Road, Memphis, Tennessee.
- 2. That the Defendant, Pacific Indemnity Company, at all times relevant to this complaint and upon information and belief, is a business licensed to operate in and issue policies of property and casualty insurance in the State of Tennessee, and which can be

served through the Tennessee Commissioner of Insurance and its managing general agent.

3. That the Defendant, Chubb Group of Insurance Companies, at all times relevant to this complaint and upon information and belief, is a business licensed to operate in and issue policies of property and casualty insurance in the State of Tennessee, and which can be served through the Tennessee Commissioner of Insurance and its managing general agent.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Defendants are regularly engaged in commercial transactions for the purpose of providing property and casualty coverage and policies within the State of Tennessee for the purposes of T.C.A. § 56-7-105(a).
- 5. Plaintiffs' complaint arises in both tort and contract under and by virtue of the applicable laws of the State of Tennessee for damages sustained by the Defendants' failure to properly and timely handle and pay Plaintiffs' claim.
- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction over this action is proper pursuant to T.C.A. § 16-10-101.
- 7. Venue for a trial on the merits in Shelby County, Tennessee is proper in part by virtue of T.C.A. § 20-4-102.
- 8. The underlying claim and suit which forms the basis of this suit occurred in Shelby County, Tennessee, and all transactions upon which form the basis of this suit occurred in Shelby County, Tennessee, further establishing proper venue.

#### III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations submitted in

paragraphs 1 through 8 above, as if the same were fully set forth again, and further allege and complain against the Defendants as follows:

- 10. Your Plaintiffs would show that, in consideration of monies paid by your Plaintiffs to the Defendants, the Defendants issued and delivered to your Plaintiffs a policy of insurance, being Policy Number 12385625-01, insuring your Plaintiffs' real estate and dwelling, located at 5993 Shady Grove Road, Memphis, Tennessee, against risks, including loss from storm, for a period of one year from June 19, 2003.
- 11. Your Plaintiffs would further show that, in consideration of additional monies paid by your Plaintiffs to the Defendants, the policy limits of Policy Number 12385625-01, insuring your Plaintiffs' real estate and dwelling, located at 5993 Shady Grove Road, Memphis, Tennessee, against risks, including loss from storm, were increased on July 3, 2003.
- 12. At all times relevant in this complaint, Plaintiffs had in force a policy of property and casualty insurance numbered 12385625-01 underwritten by the Defendants which provided for property and casualty coverage for the property located at 5993 Shady Grove, Memphis, Tennessee.
- 13. On or about July 22, 2003, the property located at 5993 Shady Grove, Memphis, Tennessee, was damaged as a result of storm.
- 14. Thereafter, on or about July 22, 2003, notice of loss and damage was properly given by your Plaintiffs to the Defendants, and the Plaintiffs rendered statements of loss, all in accordance with the terms of the aforesaid policy.
- 15. On November 7, 2008, your Plaintiffs made formal demand on the Defendants for payment under the terms of the policy.

16. Your Plaintiffs have complied fully with all of the provisions of the policy, but the Defendants have declined and refuse to make payment to your Plaintiffs for the loss and damage sustained.

#### IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 17. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by this reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above, as if the same were fully set forth again; and do further allege and complain against the Defendants as follows:
- 18. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiffs had in force a policy of property and casualty insurance numbered 12385625-01 underwritten by the Defendants which provided for deluxe coverage with limits of \$759,000.00 per occurrence.
- 19. Defendants, under the terms of their contract of insurance with the Plaintiffs, were under a duty to fully and fairly investigate the circumstances surrounding the Plaintiffs' property loss claim of July 22, 2003.
- 20. At all times relevant to this complaint, beginning on or about July 23, 2003, Defendants recklessly or negligently failed to fully and carefully investigate the circumstances surrounding Plaintiffs' property loss claim of July 22, 2003, and thereby breached their duty to, and contract with, the Plaintiffs by (a) failing to fully and carefully investigate the property loss claim of July 22, 2003 and (b) alleging that the property at 5993 Shady Grove Road, Memphis, Tennessee was not damaged as reported by Plaintiffs, and (c) denying Plaintiffs' claim.
- 21. The Defendants' breach of contract was the cause-in-fact of the denial of the Plaintiffs' property loss claim, and proximately caused the damages for which the Plaintiffs now complain.

- 22. Plaintiffs would further aver that the Defendants' refusal to pay within sixty (60) days after formal demand was made on November 7, 2008 for Plaintiffs' claim constitutes both a violation of Tennessee law and a breach of the parties' contract of insurance, proximately causing damages to the Plaintiffs.
- 23. The Defendants, by other acts, omissions and representations herein alleged breached said contract of insurance, which Defendants had in full force and effect with Plaintiffs.
- 24. Plaintiffs have incurred expenses, fees, and out-of-pocket losses as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of contract of insurance and continue to suffer additional damages as a result of the Defendants' actions.

#### V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - INSURANCE BAD FAITH

- 25. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by this reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 hereinabove, as if the same were fully set forth again; and do further allege and complain against the Defendants as follows:
- 26. Defendants owed a duty of good faith and fair dealing to the Plaintiffs in their evaluation of Plaintiffs' claim; and were required to conduct an honest and fair investigation of said claim upon notice of Plaintiffs' formal demand.
- 27. Plaintiffs would aver, upon information and belief, that through the existence of reasonable diligence and by conducting an honest and fair investigation of Plaintiffs' claim, the Defendants could have easily ascertained that the property damage claim was a covered loss, and that the Defendants had no reasonable grounds for the assertion of any denial of the claim or any other meritorious defense to Plaintiffs' claim.
  - 28. The Defendants' refusal to tender payment within sixty (60) days after

Plaintiffs' formal demand, which was made on November 7, 2008, constitutes a *prima facie* violation of T.C.A. § 56-7-105(a); said violation and Defendants' unreasonable delay constituting a bad faith refusal and delay in honoring the parties contract of insurance.

- 29. The Defendants, at all times relevant to this Complaint, failed to provide Plaintiffs' an arguable, legitimate question of coverage for its refusal to pay same.
- 30. Further, the Defendants were provided information and documentation by the Plaintiffs sufficient to demonstrate that no legitimate question of coverage existed as to Plaintiffs' claim and that there was no need for litigation in order to investigate the validity of the claim.
- 31. Defendants, by other acts, omissions and representations as alleged herein, have subordinated the rights and interests of the Plaintiffs for the unfair benefit and advantage of the Defendants.
- 32. Plaintiffs have incurred expenses, fees and other losses as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' bad faith conduct in relation to the contract of insurance and Plaintiffs have suffered additional damages, including attorneys fees and costs, as a consequence of the Defendants' unsubstantiated refusal to pay.

#### VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - FRAUD

- 33. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 32 hereinabove, as if the same were fully set forth again; and do further allege and complain against the Defendants as follows:
- 34. Defendants, through their employees and agents, repeatedly made false and intentionally misleading statements and representations which were designed and intended

to avoid or delay payment of Plaintiffs' claim in violation of the contract of insurance.

- 35. The Defendants also engaged in other acts and omissions in violation of the terms of the contract of insurance, which include, but are not limited, to the following:
  - a) Representing that Defendants had carefully examined the circumstances surrounding the Plaintiffs' claim, while recklessly or intentionally failing to do so;
  - b) Representing that Defendants had spoken to all known parties, individuals, or entities to reach a conclusion that the Plaintiffs' property damage claim was not covered, when in fact it had recklessly or intentionally failed to do so.
- 36. The Defendants' false representations, acts and omissions unreasonably delayed final resolution of the Plaintiffs' claim when Defendants knew, or through the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known, that the same was in bad faith and in violation of Tennessee law and the parties' contract of insurance.
- 37. Plaintiffs would aver that the Defendants' conduct in denying their claim was reckless and intentional; and that the Defendants' fraudulent conduct directly and proximately caused the damages to Plaintiffs as complained herein.
- 38. The Defendants sought to deliberately mislead Plaintiffs through the above-described false representations, acts and omissions in order to gain an unfair advantage over Plaintiffs for the purpose of avoiding or delaying payment upon Plaintiffs' claim.
- 39. The Plaintiffs were in fact misled by the Defendants' false representations; and have incurred expenses, fees and losses as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' bad faith conduct in relation to the contract of insurance; and Plaintiffs have suffered additional damages as a result of the Defendants' conduct in causing an

unreasonable delay in resolving this matter.

# VII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION – VIOLATION OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 40. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 39 hereinabove, as if the same were fully set forth again; and do further allege and complain against the Defendants as follows:
- As indicated above, Plaintiffs made formal demand upon Defendants for payment under the contract of insurance on or before November 7, 2008; and Plaintiffs provided all documentation and opportunity reasonably necessary for the Defendants to properly evaluate Plaintiffs' claim, at the very latest, on November 7, 2008, which established Defendants' obligation to pay to its insureds and in accordance with the parties' contract of insurance.
- 42. Defendants unreasonably delayed and refused to make payment to the Plaintiffs even though as of November 7, 2008, Plaintiffs had met all statutory, contractual and common law requirements for the Plaintiffs' claim.
- 43. The false representations, acts and omissions of the Defendants, their agents, and authorized representatives as alleged herein constitute unfair and deceptive acts and/or practices affecting the conduct of trade or commerce; and the same did adversely affect the consumers, John G. Montague and his wife, Gwendolyn W. Montague.
- The Tennessee Consumer Protection Act was in full force and effect at all relevant times alleged herein, including, but not limited to, T.C.A. §§ 47-18-104(a), 47-18-109(a)(1) and 47-18-104(b)(27).

45. As a direct and proximate result of the false representations, acts and omissions and practices described hereinabove; Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer economic damages.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, based upon the foregoing, Plaintiffs hereby respectfully pray that this Court enter Judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- 46. Plaintiffs seek judgment for statutory, pre-judgment interest pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated, § 47-14-123, based upon the reasonable and fair market value of the Defendants' policy limits in the amount of not less than Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) accruing from July 22, 2003; and trebled damages for the Defendants' unreasonable delay in paying the claim as provided by the Tennessee Consumer Sales Practices Act, and Defendants' bad faith denial of Plaintiffs' claim; plus such relief as damages in an amount not less than Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00). In addition,
- A. Plaintiffs pray for judgment in the amount of a twenty-five percent (25%) penalty pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated, § 56-7-105(a) plus interest thereon;
- B. Plaintiffs pray for damages for economic loss and for lost opportunity costs and damages caused by Defendants' false and fraudulent representations which were reasonably relied upon by Plaintiffs in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00);
- C. Plaintiffs pray for Treble Damages pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated, §47-18-101 et seq. for all economic, statutory and pecuniary loss in an amount to be

proven at trial but not less than Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00);

- D. Plaintiffs pray for compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- E. Plaintiffs pray for punitive damages as exemplary compensation for Defendants' willful, intentional and/or grossly negligent representations, practices, actions and omissions in an amount to be determined by a jury of twelve (12) persons.
  - F. Plaintiffs pray for a jury of twelve (12) persons to try their cause of action; and
- G. Plaintiffs pray for attorney's fees, costs and such other and further relief and damages as may be deemed appropriate in this matter at trial.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN B. BARTELS

JOHN B\BARTELS (BPR#020608)

Attorney for Plaintiffs

5100 Poplar Avenue, Suite 2100

Memphis, Tennessee 38137

(901) 767-8500

(901) 767-8300 (facsimile)